

No. RW/NH-913/FH/AE/BRO/26-27/RO- Ita
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(Regional Office, Itanagar)
House No. 522, Milan Nagar (Gutlung), Tezpur, Assam - 784001

Dated: 15th May, 2026

CORRIGENDUM - 1


- (i) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Bomdila (9th Mile)- Nafra -Lada section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) 9th mile-Nafra: 19.554 to 70.00= 50.446 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904911_1].
- (ii) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Bomdilla(9th Mile)- Nafra -Lada section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) Dishum-Sachung-Lada 70.00 to 167.75= 97.75km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904962_1].
- (iii) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Lada-Sarli section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) Lada to Bameng : 0.000 to 43.65 = 43.65 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904968_1].
- (iv) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Lada-Sarli section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) Bameng-Khenewa 43.65 to 85.60 = 41.950 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904972_1].
- (v) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Lada-Sarli section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) Khenewa to Koloriang 85.60 to 168.00 km = 82.400 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904977_1].
- (vi) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Lada-Sarli section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) koloriang to Sarli km 168.0 to 183.40 = 15.40 km and Sarli to Huri: km 0.00 to 78.38 = 78.38km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904981_1].
- (vii) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Huri-Taliha section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) Huri-Parsi Palo: km 0.00 to 55.725= 55.725 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904983_1].
- (viii) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Huri-Taliha section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) from km 55.725 to 113.25 = 57.525 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904987_1].
- (ix) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Huri-Taliha section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) km 160.750 to km 209.9= 49.15 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904991_1].

(x) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Taliha to Tato section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) km 87.13 to 121.541 = 34.411km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_904999_1].

(xi) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Bile-Migging section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) km 0.00 [from Migging end] to 17.812 = 17.812 km; km 17.812 to 55.377 (Migging end) = 37.565 km; km 0.000 at Bile end to km 27.430 = 27.430 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_905009_1].

(xii) Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of "Construction of road from Huri-Taliha section of Frontier Highway (NH-913) from km 113.25 to 160.75 = 47.500 km [Tender ID: 2026_MoRTH_905015_1].

Reference is invited to the RFP's invited for the above-mentioned works. In light of amendment to the revised document for RFP for appointment of AE, issued by the Ministry vide circular No. RW/NH-33044/02/2023-S&R dated 11.05.2026. The corrigendum to the RFP is enclosed at Annexure-I.



(W. Blah)

Regional Officer, Itanagar

Clause No	Existing Provision	Proposed Provision																																										
Clause 11.3.3 of Section-II Instruction to bidders	Evaluation of Techno-commercially Suitable Consultants and Opening Financial Proposals A Proposal shall be rejected if it fails to achieve the minimum 75% technical score.....	Evaluation of Techno-commercially Suitable Consultants and Opening Financial Proposals A Proposal shall be rejected if it fails to achieve the minimum 70% technical score.....																																										
Section VII: Evaluation /Scoring criteria	The technical proposal should score at least 75 points to be considered responsive for financial evaluation.	The technical proposal should score at least 70 points to be considered responsive for financial evaluation.																																										
S. No. 2 of Section-VII: Evaluation /Scoring Criteria,	Sub- Criteria for assessment of rating of the Firm <table border="1" data-bbox="371 957 848 1521"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Rating of the lead Partner</th> <th>Marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>100-90</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>90-80</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>80-70</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>70-60</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Less than 60</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S. No.	Rating of the lead Partner	Marks	1.	100-90	40	2.	90-80	30	3.	80-70	20	4.	70-60	10	5.	Less than 60	5	Sub- Criteria for assessment of rating of the Firm <table border="1" data-bbox="879 957 1356 1743"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>NHAI Published Rating Score of the Firm</th> <th>Marks assigned</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>≥ 80</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>≥75 and < 80</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>≥70 and < 75</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>≥65 and < 70</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>≥60 and < 65</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>≥55 and < 60</td> <td>15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Less than 55</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	S. No.	NHAI Published Rating Score of the Firm	Marks assigned	1.	≥ 80	40	2.	≥75 and < 80	35	3.	≥70 and < 75	30	4.	≥65 and < 70	25	5.	≥60 and < 65	20	6.	≥55 and < 60	15	7.	Less than 55	0
S. No.	Rating of the lead Partner	Marks																																										
1.	100-90	40																																										
2.	90-80	30																																										
3.	80-70	20																																										
4.	70-60	10																																										
5.	Less than 60	5																																										
S. No.	NHAI Published Rating Score of the Firm	Marks assigned																																										
1.	≥ 80	40																																										
2.	≥75 and < 80	35																																										
3.	≥70 and < 75	30																																										
4.	≥65 and < 70	25																																										
5.	≥60 and < 65	20																																										
6.	≥55 and < 60	15																																										
7.	Less than 55	0																																										



Appendix-
EC to
Section VII

S. No 1A - Evaluation
Criteria for assessment of
rating of the lead firm

S. No.	Rating of the lead Partner	Marks
1.	100-90	40
2.	90-80	30
3.	80-70	20
4.	70-60	10
5.	Less than 60	5

S. No 1A - Evaluation Criteria for
assessment of rating of the lead firm

S. No.	NHAI Published Rating Score of the Firm	Marks assigned
1.	≥ 80	40
2.	≥ 75 and < 80	35
3.	≥ 70 and < 75	30
4.	≥ 65 and < 70	25
5.	≥ 60 and < 65	20
6.	≥ 55 and < 60	15
7.	Less than 55	0

